

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,732	07/01/2003	Aoi Tanaka	10059-471US (P31327-01)	7365
570	7590 10/28/2005		EXAM	INER
	MP STRAUSS HAUER MERCE SQUARE	KALAFUT, STEPHEN J		
	2005 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2200			PAPER NUMBER
	PHIA, PA 19103		1745	-

**DATE MAILED: 10/28/2005** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
·	10/611,732	TANAKA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen J. Kalafut	1745
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the practice of the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice of the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the closed in accordan	action is non-final.  ace except for formal matters, pro-	
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>		
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 01 July 2003 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01 July 2003.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	•

Application/Control Number: 10/611,732

Art Unit: 1745

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bevers (US 5,738,905).

Bevers discloses a fuel cell with a membrane electrode assembly, which comprises a proton-conductive membrane (34), a pair of catalyst layers (50) and a pair of gas-diffusion layers (52). The powder used in the catalyst layers includes Pt carried on carbon, and a solid electrolyte material (column 5, lines 14-24). The catalyst may be applied as several sub-layers (50, 50a, 50b), where the polymers therein undergo greater degrees of melting toward the membrane, and less melting toward the gas-diffusion layers (column 6, lines 17-39). Since the sub-layers are made of the same powder, this would mean that their density is greater near the membrane, while the relative proportions of their constituents stay the same throughout the catalyst layer. The powders are formed onto copier drums (10, 12), with the first sub-layer being transferred to the membrane, and each succeeding sub-layer transferred onto the one immediately preceding it. Due to the use of heat sources (36, 38), the transfer would be a thermal transfer. The drums would thus operate as transfer sheets, and would also press each sub-layer as it is applied (column 5, lines 44-47). See also column 3, lines 14-29.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,732

Art Unit: 1745

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bevers in view of Sompalli *et al.* (US 6,524,736).

If the rollers of Bevers are not considered to be sheets, these claims would differ from Bevers by reciting the use of sheets upon which to form the catalyst sub-layers and transfer them to the membrane or previously applied sub-layers. Sompalli *et al.* disclose a process for forming catalyst layers on a substrate, called a decal, applying the layer to a polymer electrolyte membrane, and removing the decal (column 7, lines 41-67). The decal disclosed by Sompalli *et al.* provides "good transfer of the catalyst onto the membrane" (column 7, line 58), and allows for the vaporization of any residual solvent left in the electrode or membrane (column 7, lines 60-66). The catalyst of Bevers may be applied in a wet chemical process (column 5, lines 32-35), thus raising the possibility of residual water in his catalyst layer. For these reasons, it would be obvious to use the decal substrate of Sompalli *et al.* to form and apply the catalyst sub-layers of Bevers to his membrane, and previously applied sub-layers.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 22, line 18, the numeral 11 is used to indicate both an "electrolyte membrane" and a "catalyst layer". On page 33, lines 4 and 8, the numeral 45 is used to indicate both "gas flow channels" and

Application/Control Number: 10/611,732

Art Unit: 1745

"separator plates". The abstract comprises two paragraphs instead of one. Appropriate correction is required.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Tozawa *et al.* (US 5,607,785) disclose multilayer fuel cell electrodes, where the layers include differing amounts of ion-conductive polymer. Wilkinson *et al.* (US 5,874,182) disclose fuel cell electrodes having catalyst powder, sometimes with ion-conductive polymer powder, dispersed within a conductive porous substrate, in various non-uniform arrangements. Shelef (US 6,117,581) discloses a fuel cell electrode having two layers, one with carbon and the other with zeolite, as catalyst supports. Fukuda *et al.* (US 6,720,106) disclose a fuel cell in which part of the catalyst powder is pressed into the outer surface of the electrolyte membrane.

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Kalafut whose telephone number is 571-272-1286. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sjk